

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

11 IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
12 PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT
LITIGATION

Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB (LJC)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING
PULASKI KHERKHER PLAINTIFFS'
COMPLIANCE WITH NOVEMBER 18,
2024 PFS ORDER**

13
14 This Document Relates to:

15 *T.T. v. Uber Technologies, Inc. et al.*, No.
3:24-cv-03004-CRB

16 *T.C. v. Uber Technologies, Inc. et al.*, No.
17 3:24-cv-03956-CRB

18 *C.S. v. Uber Technologies, Inc. et al.*, No.
19 3:24-cv-06923-CRB

20 *B.S. v. Uber Technologies, Inc. et al.*, No.
21 3:24-cv-06948-CRB

22 *S.H. v. Uber Technologies, Inc. et al.*, No.
23 3:24-cv-07156-CRB

Re: Dkt. No. #####

24
25 Having considered the parties' joint discovery letter (Dkt. No. #####), the Court hereby
26 ORDERS each Plaintiff at issue in this joint letter to serve a complete and verified Plaintiff Fact
27 Sheet by no later than _____. Because Uber seeks dispositive sanctions for further failure
28 to comply, that is a matter for the parties to address to Judge Breyer if any of the Plaintiffs at issue

1 fail to comply with the deadline set by this Order. Any Plaintiff for whom Uber moves for
2 dispositive sanctions shall be permitted to seek less extreme alternatives.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4
5 Dated: January ____, 2025

6 HON. LISA J. CISNEROS
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28